

1.1

Comptroller General of the United States

Washington, D.C. 20548

Decision

Matter of: SAA International, Ltd.-Reconsideration

File:

B-261097.2

Date:

August 23, 1995

Decision

SAA International, Ltd. requests reconsideration of our decision in <u>SAA Int'l, Ltd.</u>, B-261097, July 19, 1995, in which we dismissed its protest of the elimination from the competitive range of the proposal it submitted in response to Department of the Air Force solicitation No. F41624-94-R-1000.

Under our Bid Protest Regulations, to obtain reconsideration, the requesting party must show that our prior decision may contain either errors of fact or law or present information not previously considered that warrants reversal or modification of our decision. 4 C.F.R. § 21.12(a) (1995). While SAA asserts that we made errors of both fact and law, a careful reading of its request for reconsideration reveals that in essence it simply disagrees with the conclusions we reached initially. Since SAA provides no basis for us to believe that those conclusions, the bases for which were set forth in the dismissal decision, may be erroneous, reconsideration is not warranted here.

Accordingly, the request for reconsideration is denied.

Ronald Berger

Associate General Counsel

Kauld Berge

¹For example, we concluded that certain allegations were untimely because SAA learned the basis of protest from the agency report on the protest and did not raise the allegations until it filed comments on the report more than 10 days after it received the report. SAA asserts that we extended the time for filing comments and that its untimely filing was due to our action. The dismissal decision pointed out, however, that the extension was only for filing comments, not for filing new grounds for protest.